

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,276	11/25/2003	Akira Okitsu	1509.1038	4781
21171	7590 12/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			GILMAN, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/720,276	OKITSU, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander D Gilman	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	↑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11-16 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11.12 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	I0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	" 	(070, 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,276

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brindle et al in view of Ikeya et al.

Brindle et al (US 6,574,114) disclose a socket (col. 1, lines 6-10, col. 2, lines 29-31) for an electrical part which is mounted to a circuit board and in which an electrical part is mounted so as to be electrically connected to the circuit board, said socket comprising:

a contact sheet (26) disposed on the printed circuit board (32) and including an elastic body in form of a plate having first and second surfaces and having elasticity and an insulating property and a conductive portion (28) embedded in the elastic body, said conductive portion having first and second ends which are exposed to the first and second surfaces of the elastic body, respectively;

a land sheet (14) disposed between the contact sheet and the electrical part, said land sheet being composed of an insulating sheet having first and second surfaces on which first and second electrode portions (top and bottom portions of 16) are formed respectively to be electrically conductive to each other,

Brindle et al do not disclose at least one plate member mounted to the circuit board, said contact sheet and land sheet have end portions which are clamped and held between the plate member and the printed circuit board.

Ikeya et al (US 5,816,828) disclose at least one plate member (22) mounted to the circuit board (10), said contact sheet and land sheet have end portions which are clamped and held between the plate member and the printed circuit board.

Art Unit: 2833

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the electrodes of the flexible film being printed, as taught by Ikeya et al, to dependably fix the contact sheet and flexible sheet in the socket.

With regard to claim 12, Brindle et al when modified by Ikeya et al disclose (Ikeya et al) that the plate member (22) comprising upper (22) and lower (37) plates between which end portions of said contact sheet is clamped and held.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 13-15 are allowed.

No prior art has been found to anticipate or render obvious the presently claimed subject matter. Specifically, none of the prior art of record discloses the combination of the limitations presented including the an upper plate for guiding and accommodation the electrical part (claims 1, 13), and the lower plate disposed between the circuit board and the contact sheet for clamping end portions of the contact sheet and end portions of the contact sheet between the upper and lower plates.the part (claim 1), the lower plate disposed between the circuit board and me contact sheet (claims 14, 15).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, regarding claims 11 and 12.

Applicants argue that Brindle when modified by Ikeya et al does not disclose or suggest claimed features.

However, as it shownt in this office action, the above-mentioned prior art teach all of the limitations of claims 11, 12.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2833

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D Gilman whose telephone number is 571 272-2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER GILMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4